Annual (April 1, 2012 to March 31, 2013) Performance Evaluation Report in respect of RFD 2012-13 of RSCs i.e. Institutions

Name of the Division : Crop Sciences

Name of the Institution : Directorate of Rice Research, Hyderabad

RFD Nodal Officer : Dr. M.B.B. Prasad Babu

Objective	W	Action	Success indicator	Unit	Weig ht		Targe	/ Criteria	value		Achie	Perfor	mance	%	Reasons for shortfalls or excessive achievemnts, if applicable
	ei gh t					Excel lent 100%	Very Good 90%	Good 80%	Fair 70%	Poor 60%	vemen	Raw Score (%)	Weigh ted Score	achieve ments against Target values of 90% col	
Strengthening frontier research for enhancing rice production,		Development of better hybrids for different rice ecologies	Development and evaluation of test hybrids	No.	5	40	30	20	10	5	31	100	4.6	103	NA
productivity and quality to meet domestic and			Improvement of parental lines	No.	5	15	10	7	5	3	10	95	4.5	100	NA
export demands		Development of better varieties for domestic and export purpose	Development and evaluation of breeding lines for higher yield and quality	No.	5	6	5	4	3	2	5	90	4.5	100	NA
			Development and improvement of varieties with stress tolerance	No.	5	5	4	3	2	1	4	90	4.5	100	NA

Objective	W	Action	Success indicator	Unit	ht		Targe	t/ Criteria	value		Achie	Perfor	mance	%	Reasons for shortfalls or excessive achievemnts, if applicable
	ei gh t					Excel lent 100%	Very Good 90%	80%	Fair 70%	Poor 60%	vemen ts	Raw Score (%)	Weigh ted Score	achieve ments against Target values of 90% col	
		Characterization and evaluation of germplasm for biotic stresses	Agro- morphological characterization and screening of new germplasm accessions for stress resistance	No.	8	1000	800	700	600	500	830	93	7.4	104	N/A
Identification of technologies	25	Organization of coordinated trials in different disciplines	Data receipt and analysis	Dat e	5	25/01 /13	31/01 /13	10/02 /13	20/02 /13	28/02 /13	31/01/ 13	90	4.5	-	NA
suitable for different			Report preparation &	Dat e	10	26/03 /13	27/03 /13	28/03 /13	29/03 /13	30/03 /13	25/03/ 13	100	10	-	N/A
ecologies/ environments	ecologies/		Constitution & Conduct of trial	No.	5	75	65	60	50	40	92	100	5	142	At the Annual Rice Group Meeting, more number of voluntary centres have come forward to conduct more trials.
			Monitoring of trials at centers	No.	5	40	35	30	25	20	37	94	4.7	106	NA
Improving efficiency of resources, inputs and farm machinery for sustainable production	15	Improving productivity of rice and rice based cropping systems	Evaluation of crop management practices for improved productivity	No	5	8	6	4	2	0	6	90	4.5	100	NA
production		Enhancing efficiency of resources and inputs	Evaluation and monitoring for improved efficiency of soil, water and inputs	No	5	7	5	3	2	0	5	90	4.5	100	NA

Objective	W	Action	Success indicator	Unit	ht		Targe	t/ Criteria	value		Achie	Perfor	mance	%	Reasons for shortfalls or excessive achievemnts, if applicable
	ei gh t					Excel lent 100%	Very Good 90%	Good 80%	Fair 70%	Poor 60%	vemen ts	Raw Score (%)	Weigh ted Score	achieve ments against Target values of 90% col	
		Assessment of crop responses to changing climate	Identification of crop parameters/indica tors and efficient genotypes for heat and water	No.	5	20	15	10	5	3	15	90	4.5	100	N/A
Integrated management of biotic stresses	15	Identification of new sources of resistance	Screening advanced breeding lines for resistance	No.	5	500	475	350	250	150	624	100	5	131	Since more no. of breeding lines were nominated by the cooperating centres, more lines were screened.
		Evaluation of new molecules and formulations of pesticides for bio-efficacy and safety	9	No.	5	35	30	25	20	15	27	84	4.2	90	N/A
		Development and evaluation of novel methods of pest management and their integration	biocontrol, botanicals and other means of	No.	5	5	4	3	2	1	4	90	4.5	100	N/A

Objective	W	Action	Success indicator	Unit	Weig ht			t/ Criteria			Achie	Perfor	mance	% achieve	Reasons for shortfalls or excessive achievemnts, if applicable
	ei gh t					Excel lent 100%	Very Good 90%	80%	Fair 70%	Poor 60%	vemen ts	Raw Score (%)	Weigh ted Score	ments against Target values of 90% col	
Validation, dissemination and commercialization of technologies developed and promoting public- private partnership	5	On-farm validation and popularization of technologies Organization of sponsored and need based training programs and maintenance of rice knowledge portal	Laying out FLDs/OFDs	No.	1	350	325	300	275	250	572	100	1	176	As per the request of DAC more number of FLDs were taken up, especially because FLDs have come under NFSM.
			Multi-location breeder seed production for varieties and parental lines	No.	1	300	260	200	150	100	244	87.3	0.87	94	N/A
			Conducting training programmes on rice production technologies	No.	2	11	10	8	6	5	8	80	1.6	80	N/A
			Web articles updated/added to the portal	No.	1	600	550	500	450	400	570	94	0.94	104	NA
Efficient Functioning of the RFD System	3	Timely submission of RFD	On-time submission	Dat e	2	23/03 /12	26/03 /12	27/03 /12	20/03 /12	25/03 /12	22/02/ 12	100	2	-	NA
		Timely submission of Results	On-time submission	Dat e	1	01/05 /13	02/05 /13	03/05 /13	06/05 /13	07/05 /13	25/04/ 13	100	1	-	NA

Objective	W	Action	Success indicator	Unit	ht		Targe	t/ Criteria	value		Achie	Performance		%	Reasons for shortfalls or excessive
	ei gh t					Excel lent 100%	Very Good 90%	80%	Fair 70%	Poor 60%	vemen ts	Raw Score (%)	Weigh ted Score	achieve ments against Target values of 90% col	achievemnts, if applicable
Administrative reforms	5	Implement ISO 9001	Prepare ISO 9001 action plan	Dat e	1	04/06 /12	05/06 /12	06/06 /12	07/06 /12	08/06 /12	30/05/ 12	100	1	-	N/A
			Implementation of ISO 9001 action plan	Dat e	2	25/03 /13	26/03 /13	27/03 /13	28/03 /13	29/03 /13	-	-	0	0	Tender for hiring the services of Consultant has been advertised. Response is awaited
		Implement mitigat-ing strategies for reducing	Implementation of corruption reducing strategies	%	2	100	95	90	85	80	100	100	2	105	N/A
Improving Internal Efficiency /responsiveness service delivery of	4	Implementation of Sevottam	Independent Audit of Implementation of citizen's charter	%	2	100	95	90	85	80	100	100	2	105	NA
Ministry /Department			Independent Audit of Implementation of public grievances redressal system	%	2	100	95	90	85	80	100	100	2	105	NA

Composite Score: 91.31

Rating: Very Good